

# The Conspiracy Theory

*Every conspiracy theory has seven tells. I pinned mine to the wall and checked it against all seven — in the open.*

## ACT I — THE SETUP

### You already decided.

The word *conspiracy* triggers a reflex: dismiss the speaker, walk away, don't get pulled in. It's a good reflex. Most of the time it's right.

So before you use it on me, I'm going to hand you the exact instrument researchers use to spot a conspiracy theorist — and then I'm going to stand under it while you watch. Real conspiracies exist. Volkswagen's emissions cheat. Big Tobacco. But they get exposed by *conventional* thinking — evidence, consistency, a whistleblower — and they stay **small**, because every extra person in on it is one more mouth that can talk.

Conspiracy *theories* are the opposite. They survive without evidence, and they run on seven recognizable tells.

## ACT II — THE SEVEN TELLS

### CONSPIR. Run me through it.

#### DIAGNOSTIC ON FILE

Lewandowsky & Cook named seven traits of conspiratorial thinking — the acronym is **CONSPIR**. Here they are, one at a time. For each, the tell in italics... and then what the record actually shows me doing.

Framework: Lewandowsky, S., & Cook, J. (2020). *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*. [read it](#) · [source](#).

#### Contradictory

**CLEARED**

*Believes mutually incompatible things at once — because disbelieving the official story matters more than being coherent.*

**C**

I hold **one** account, internally consistent. Where two of my own pages disagreed on a date, I flagged it instead of believing both. My analysis even keeps the **7% scenario where the footage favors the defense** — a coherent theory doesn't delete the parts that hurt it.

O

### Overriding suspicion

CLEARED

*A nihilistic distrust of every official account — so total nothing outside the theory can be believed.*

I trusted the official channels — repeatedly, to my cost. FHC, HUD, the DA, L&I, the FBI. My record is a **log of asking institutions to work**. That's the opposite of blanket suspicion.

N

### Nefarious intent

CLEARED

*The presumed plotters always have the darkest motive; benign explanations are never allowed.*

I write the words "*I cannot prove why*" and leave the motive **open** — bureaucratic self-protection, a supervisor dodging a contaminated unit, all on the table. My father's motive I take at his own word: his credit. Not something darker.

S

### Something must be wrong

CLEARED

*Even when specific claims collapse, the conviction that "it's all deception" survives untouched.*

When a specific claim of mine was wrong, I **corrected it and let the conclusion move**. I first called the exposure carbon monoxide; the chemistry said VOC/BTEX, so I changed it. My claims can be falsified — some by me.

P

### Persecuted victim

DOCUMENTED

*Casts himself as victim and heroic antagonist of the villains.*

The one tell with a real hook — I **was** assaulted, poisoned, displaced; documented, not felt. But I make no hero claim. I beat no one. I documented, filed, and mostly **lost** the larger fights. The victim half is on the record; the hero half isn't here.

I

### Immune to evidence

CLEARED

*Contrary evidence is re-read as proof of the plot — the theory self-seals.*

The reverse. Contrary evidence **ends** claims for me. When the fuller footage finally arrived, I recorded that it made the aggravated-assault case *weaker* — and let the evidence lose the point. A self-sealing theory can't do that.

## Re-interpreting randomness

CLEARED

R

*Coincidence is read as design; nothing is allowed to be an accident.*

I assign **probabilities**, not certainties, and name coincidence as coincidence. The whole next act is me saying I **cannot** tell design from shared incentive — and refusing to pretend I can.

Seven tells. Six I do the opposite of; on the seventh, the injury is documented and the hero half is missing. The diagnostic says you didn't find a conspiracy theorist.

*Which is, I know, exactly what a very clever one would say. So don't take my word. Look at the wall.*

### ACT III — THE WALL

## So what's the theory?

Four institutions — the **District Attorney, Licenses & Inspections**, the landlord (**Post Goldtex / Greystar**), and, financially, the **family trust** — each acted, or failed to act, in a way that converged on one outcome: me discredited, unprotected, displaced, and the people who caused the harm shielded.

**I can't prove they coordinated.** Left side, what the record establishes. Right side, the leap I can't make. I keep the string from crossing.

### 01 The District Attorney

#### ON THE RECORD

Four ADAs in ~10 months. The ADA **refused my requests to subpoena** the fuller footage — said he had a strong case with what he had plus my testimony. That footage was **the copy I pulled off the monitor myself**. Bodycam never produced. Footage finally subpoenaed only July 2, 2026. **The case then lost aggravated assault with more footage than it ever had** — verdict: simple assault + REAP.

#### THE LEAP

That the inaction was *meant* to shield the landlord. I can't prove intent. I can name the effect: for months the building's false police statements stayed out of the record, and the one office with subpoena power wouldn't use it.

### 02 Licenses & Inspections

#### ON THE RECORD

124 lifetime violations across 48 cases. Greystar told my father the city “deemed the unit a fire hazard”; Supervisor **Anthony Williams**: “There is no documentation or report of a fire violation for that unit.” On June 10 he required my **physical entry** into the contaminated unit despite my respirator (Ring-recorded, 10:34 AM) — though the rules let him enter without me — then went silent and, when I pressed, **told me to stop emailing him**.

#### THE LEAP

That L&I was protecting the building. I can’t prove why a supervisor forced an injured tenant’s entry when his own rules didn’t, then stopped answering. The *why* stays open.

### 03 The Landlord — Post Goldtex / Greystar

#### ON THE RECORD

License #602204 expired Feb 28, 2026 — rent collected on 163 units anyway. The 94-minute retaliation (3:01 PM complaint → 4:35 PM warning). The April 28 “Unauthorized Flyers” email to every resident *except* me. The front desk told police I was the aggressor and that no footage existed — both false.

#### THE LEAP

That it was a coordinated campaign against one tenant. I can prove each act; I can’t prove they were orchestrated together. Consistent-with is not proof, and I won’t sell it as one.

### 04 The Family Trust

#### ON THE RECORD

My father, sole trustee, kept paying the unlicensed landlord over my written objection — the May 1 payment made the *same day* he refused a \$10,000 relocation. First-year disbursements: \$84,403.23, his own accounting. His reason: “Why should I jeopardize my credit?”

#### THE LEAP

That he knowingly joined a scheme. I do **not** claim he funded the harassment directly, and the record doesn’t establish it. Only this: the money that kept me in harm’s way kept flowing over my objection.

## THE INVARIANT

### Everything pointed one way.

Across all four legs, one thing held constant: every action and inaction resolved to the same output — **me discredited and unprotected, the harm-causers shielded**. Whether that's convergence *by design* or *by shared incentive*, the record can't say — and, per tell **R**, I won't read the coincidence as proof of the design. I'm showing you the shape. I'm not telling you a meeting made it.

## ACT IV — WHY I CALLED IT THAT

### Because theory is the honest word.

A conspiracy needs coordination I can't prove. What I can prove is convergence — four actors, four methods, one destination. I named this after the thing you were told to dismiss, then handed you the tool to dismiss it, because the fastest way past the reflex is to pass the test in the light.

There's a film called *Conspiracy Theory* whose whole tragedy is that the man everyone writes off is right about exactly one thing — and no one can find his one true signal in all his noise. I solved that the only honest way there is: I removed the noise myself, first, and labeled every leap a leap.

*The paranoid's failure was never that he was always wrong. It was that he never showed his work. This is me showing mine.*

*Facultas non est culpa.*

Capability is not culpability — and passing the diagnostic is not proving the pattern. I clear all seven tells. I still can't prove coordination. Both are true. I'm telling you both.